

## Alternative Fixed Point Method

**Richard Avery**

Dakota State University  
College of Arts and Sciences  
Madison, SD, 57042, USA  
[rich.avery@dsu.edu](mailto:rich.avery@dsu.edu)

In honor of Distinguished Professor Johnny Henderson's contributions to the mathematics community, and in celebration of his 70th birthday; I have been blessed to have you as a mentor and friend throughout my professional career.

### Abstract

A new method for converting a discrete boundary value problem to a fixed point problem is developed. We conclude with an application of the new fixed point technique to bound a solution to a second order discrete right focal boundary value problem known to exist by exponentials.

**AMS Subject Classifications:** 47H10, 39A10.

**Keywords:** Fixed point theory, difference equations, boundary value problem.

## 1 Introduction

The standard method to convert a boundary value problem to a fixed point problem is to apply Green's function techniques [8]. We will create a new method to convert a discrete second order focal boundary value problem to a fixed point problem relying only on elementary principles of difference equations. See the book by Peterson and Kelly [6] for a thorough introduction to difference equations, including a discussion of first order linear equations, which is fundamental to our arguments.

Bringing the operator inside of the nonlinear term in boundary value problems is a recent development in converting boundary value problems to fixed point problems [1, 3–5, 7]. Our result relies on similar ideas to introduce a term corresponding to a weighted average of the input into the operator. Under some relatively non restrictive

conditions we can bound fixed points of this operator by exponential functions noting that we have conditions on solutions of the form

$$x(t+1) \geq (1+\lambda)x(t)$$

over an interval which results in exponentials bounding solutions over this interval.

## 2 Alternative Inversion Technique

Existence of solutions of the discrete right focal boundary value problem

$$\Delta^2 x(t) + f(x(t)) = 0, \quad t \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$x(0) = \Delta x(N+1) = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

was studied by Henderson et al. [2], where  $N$  is a positive integer. Conditions on the function  $f$  were given for the existence of solutions of (2.1), (2.2) by showing that these conditions resulted in fixed points for the operator  $J$  defined by

$$Jx(t) = \sum_{s=0}^N G(t,s)f(x(s)),$$

where  $G$  is the associated Green's function. We will find an alternative operator  $H$  such that  $x$  is a solution of (2.1), (2.2) if and only if  $x$  is a fixed point of the operator  $H$ . As an application, we will use  $H$  to verify an interesting exponential property of solutions under certain conditions for the function  $f$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $a(t) \neq -1$  for  $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, N, N+1\}$ , then  $x$  is a solution of (2.1), (2.2) if and only if  $x$  is a fixed point of the operator  $H$  defined by*

$$Hx(t) = \sum_{w=0}^{t-1} \left( \left( \sum_{r=w}^N f(x(r)) - a(w)x(w) \right) \prod_{s=w+1}^{t-1} (1+a(s)) \right).$$

*Proof.* A function  $x$  is a solution of (2.1), (2.2) if and only if  $x$  is a solution of

$$\Delta x(t) = \sum_{r=t}^N f(x(r)), \quad t \in \{0, 1, \dots, N+1\}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$x(0) = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

since

$$-\Delta x(t) = \Delta x(N+1) - \Delta x(t) = \sum_{r=t}^N \Delta^2 x(r) = \sum_{r=t}^N -f(x(r)),$$

where when necessary we apply the standard convention that

$$\sum_{r=N+1}^N f(x(r)) = 0$$

because the summation's lower limit is greater than the summation's upper limit. The equation in (2.3) is equivalent to

$$\Delta x(t) - a(t)x(t) = \sum_{r=t}^N f(x(r)) - a(t)x(t),$$

and this is equivalent to

$$(x(t+1) - (1+a(t))x(t)) \prod_{s=t+1}^N (1+a(s)) = \left( \sum_{r=t}^N f(x(r)) - a(t)x(t) \right) \prod_{s=t+1}^N (1+a(s)),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\Delta \left( x(t) \prod_{s=t}^N (1+a(s)) \right) = \left( \sum_{r=t}^N f(x(r)) - a(t)x(t) \right) \prod_{s=t+1}^N (1+a(s)).$$

Thus, we have that (2.3), (2.4) is equivalent to

$$x(t) = \sum_{w=0}^{t-1} \left( \left( \sum_{r=w}^N f(x(r)) - a(w)x(w) \right) \prod_{s=w+1}^{t-1} (1+a(s)) \right) \quad (2.5)$$

for  $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, N+1\}$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) \prod_{s=t}^N (1+a(s)) &= \sum_{w=0}^{t-1} \Delta \left( x(w) \prod_{s=w}^N (1+a(s)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{w=0}^{t-1} \left( \left( \sum_{r=w}^N f(x(r)) - a(w)x(w) \right) \prod_{s=w+1}^N (1+a(s)) \right); \end{aligned}$$

when necessary we apply the standard convention that

$$\prod_{s=t}^{t-1} (1+a(s)) = 1,$$

because the product's lower limit is greater than the product's upper limit. Therefore, if we define the operator  $H$  by

$$Hx(t) = \sum_{w=0}^{t-1} \left( \left( \sum_{r=w}^N f(x(r)) - a(w)x(w) \right) \prod_{s=w+1}^{t-1} (1+a(s)) \right),$$

then we have that  $x$  is a solution of (2.1), (2.2) if and only if  $x$  is a fixed point of the operator  $H$ . This ends the proof.  $\square$

Letting  $a \equiv \lambda > 0$  in Theorem 2.1 we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.2.** *The function  $x$  is a solution of (2.1), (2.2) if and only if  $x$  is a fixed point of the operator  $A$  defined by*

$$Ax(t) = \sum_{w=0}^{t-1} \left( (1 + \lambda)^{t-w-1} \sum_{r=w}^N f(x(r)) \right) - \sum_{w=0}^{t-1} \lambda x(w) (1 + \lambda)^{t-w-1}.$$

### 3 Application

Let  $E$  be the Banach space of real valued functions defined on the discrete interval  $[0, N + 2]$  with the supnorm, and let  $P$  be the set of nonnegative functions in  $E$ . For positive constants  $b$  and  $c$  and an integer  $M$  with  $1 \leq M \leq N$ , define

$$\overline{P}_c = \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq c\}$$

and

$$\overline{P(\beta, b, \psi, c)} = \{x \in E : b \leq x(t) \leq c \text{ for } t = M, M + 1, \dots, N + 2\}.$$

While it is unknown how useful the operator  $H$  will be in existence of solutions arguments, the properties of the operator  $H$  can be used to prove interesting exponential properties of solutions for the boundary value problem (2.1), (2.2) with relatively non-restrictive conditions on  $f$ , since being a solution is equivalent to being a fixed point of the operator  $H$  (and  $A$ ).

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $f(x) \geq \lambda x$  on  $[b, c]$ , then*

$$b(1 + \lambda)^{t-M} \leq x^*(t) \leq \frac{c}{(1 + \lambda)^{N+1-t}}$$

for  $t \in \{M, M + 1, \dots, N + 1\}$  for any solution  $x^* \in \overline{P(\beta, b, \psi, c)}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $f(x) \geq \lambda x$  on  $[b, c]$ , and suppose  $x^* \in \overline{P(\beta, b, \psi, c)}$  is a solution of (2.1), (2.2). Thus, by Theorem 2.2 we have that  $x^*$  is a fixed point of the operator  $A$ . Letting  $t \in \{M, M + 1, \dots, N\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(t+1) - (1 + \lambda)x^*(t) &= Ax^*(t+1) - (1 + \lambda)Ax^*(t) \\ &= \sum_{w=0}^t (1 + \lambda)^{t-w} \sum_{r=w}^N f(x^*(r)) - \sum_{w=0}^t (\lambda x^*(w)) (1 + \lambda)^{t-w} \\ &\quad - \sum_{w=0}^{t-1} (1 + \lambda)^{t-w} \sum_{r=w}^N f(x^*(r)) + \sum_{w=0}^{t-1} (\lambda x^*(w)) (1 + \lambda)^{t-w} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{r=t}^N f(x^*(r)) - \lambda x^*(t) \\
&\geq f(x^*(t)) - \lambda x^*(t) \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $x^*(t) \in [b, c]$  so  $f(x^*(t)) \geq \lambda x^*(t)$ . Hence,

$$x^*(t+1) \geq (1 + \lambda)x^*(t)$$

for  $t \in \{M, M+1, \dots, N\}$ . Thus, by finite induction,

$$x^*(t) \geq x^*(M)(1 + \lambda)^{t-M}$$

and

$$\frac{x^*(N+1)}{(1 + \lambda)^{N+1-t}} \geq x^*(t)$$

for  $t \in \{M, M+1, \dots, N, N+1\}$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{c}{(1 + \lambda)^{N+1-t}} \geq x^*(t) \geq b(1 + \lambda)^{t-M}$$

for  $t \in \{M, M+1, \dots, N, N+1\}$ , since  $b \leq x^*(M)$  and  $x^*(N+1) \leq c$ .  $\square$

When  $b = 0$ , so  $f(x) \geq \lambda x$  on  $[0, c]$ , we have upper and lower bounds on solutions by exponentials in  $\overline{P}_c$  over the entire domain instead of bounds on an interval in the domain.

**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $f(x) \geq \lambda x$  on  $[0, c]$ , then*

$$x^*(1)(1 + \lambda)^{t-1} \leq x^*(t) \leq \frac{c}{(1 + \lambda)^{N+1-t}}$$

for  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, N+1\}$  for any solution  $x^* \in \overline{P}_c$ .

*Proof.* We have that

$$x^*(t+1) \geq (1 + \lambda)x^*(t)$$

for  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ ; thus, by finite induction

$$x^*(t) \geq x^*(1)(1 + \lambda)^{t-1}$$

and

$$\frac{x^*(N+1)}{(1 + \lambda)^{N+1-t}} \geq x^*(t)$$

for  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, N, N+1\}$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{c}{(1 + \lambda)^{N+1-t}} \geq x^*(t) \geq x^*(1)(1 + \lambda)^{t-1}.$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

The following theorem, which provides conditions on the function  $f$  for the existence of a solution for (2.1), (2.2), is from Henderson et al. [2, Theorem 3.1].

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $M, \mu, \nu \in \{1, \dots, N + 2\}$ , with  $0 < M \leq \mu < \nu \leq N + 2$ , let  $d$  and  $m$  be positive real numbers with  $0 < m \leq \frac{d\mu}{N + 2}$ , and suppose  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous and satisfies the following conditions:

$$(i) \quad f(w) \geq \frac{d}{\nu - M}, \text{ for } w \in \left[ \frac{Md}{N + 2}, \frac{\nu d}{N + 2} \right],$$

$$(ii) \quad f(w) \text{ is decreasing, for } w \in [0, m], \text{ and } f(m) \geq f(w), \text{ for } w \in [m, d],$$

$$(iii) \quad \sum_{\ell=0}^{\mu} \frac{\ell + 1}{N + 2} f\left(\frac{m\ell}{\mu}\right) \leq d - \sum_{\ell=\mu+1}^N \frac{\ell + 1}{N + 2} f(m).$$

Then, the discrete right focal boundary value problem (2.1), (2.2) has at least one positive solution

$$u^* \in P\left(\alpha, \frac{Md}{N + 2}, \beta, d\right) = \left\{ x \in E : \frac{Md}{N + 2} \leq x(t) \leq d \text{ for } t = M, \dots, N + 2 \right\}.$$

Given that there is a solution  $u^* \in P\left(\alpha, \frac{Md}{N + 2}, \beta, d\right)$ , if we strengthen condition (i) with

$$f(x) \geq \frac{x}{\nu - M} \text{ for } w \in \left[ \frac{\nu d}{N + 2}, d \right],$$

then we can enhance the conclusion of Theorem 3.3 by saying that

$$\left(\frac{Md}{N + 2}\right) (1 + \lambda)^{t-M} \leq u^*(t) \leq \frac{d}{(1 + \lambda)^{N+1-t}}$$

for  $t \in \{M, M + 1, \dots, N + 1\}$ , where  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\nu - M}$  by Theorem 3.1.

Henderson et al. [2] finished their paper with the following example of Theorem 3.3.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $N = 8, M = 1, \mu = 2, \nu = 10, d = 1$ , and  $m = \frac{1}{9}$ . Notice that  $0 < \tau \leq \mu < \nu \leq 10 = N + 2$ , and  $0 < m = \frac{1}{9} \leq \frac{1}{5} = \frac{d\mu}{N + 2}$ . We define a continuous  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$f(w) = \begin{cases} -8w + 1, & 0 \leq w \leq \frac{1}{9}, \\ \frac{1}{9}, & w > \frac{1}{9}. \end{cases}$$

Then,

- (i)  $f(w) \geq \frac{1}{9}$ , for  $w \in \left[\frac{1}{10}, 1\right]$ ,
- (ii)  $f(w)$  is decreasing on  $\left[0, \frac{1}{9}\right]$ , and  $f\left(\frac{1}{9}\right) = f(w)$ , for  $w \in \left[\frac{1}{9}, 1\right]$ , and
- (iii)  $\sum_{\ell=0}^2 \frac{\ell+1}{10} f\left(\frac{\ell}{18}\right) = \frac{22}{90} \leq \frac{51}{90} = 1 - \sum_{\ell=3}^{10} \frac{\ell+1}{10} f\left(\frac{1}{9}\right)$ .

Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, the right focal boundary value problem,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^2 u(k) + f(u(k)) &= 0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, 8\}, \\ u(0) &= 0 = \Delta u(9),\end{aligned}$$

has at least one positive solution,  $u^*$ , with

$$\frac{1}{10} \leq u^*(1) \text{ and } u^*(10) \leq 1.$$

In this example, the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are met with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{9}$ . Therefore, the conclusion of Example 3.4 can be enhanced, and instead of concluding with the existence of a solution  $u^*$  with

$$\frac{1}{10} \leq u^*(1) \text{ and } u^*(10) \leq 1,$$

one can conclude with the existence of a solution  $u^*$  satisfying

$$\frac{10^{t-2}}{9^{t-1}} \leq u^*(t) \leq \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^{9-t}$$

for  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$  (note that  $u^*(9) = u^*(10)$ , since  $\Delta u^*(9) = 0$ ).

## References

- [1] Douglas R. Anderson, Richard I. Avery, and Johnny Henderson. Layered compression-expansion fixed point theorem. *Res. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2018.
- [2] Douglas R. Anderson, Richard I. Avery, Johnny Henderson, X. Liu, and Jeffrey W. Lyons. Existence of a positive solution for a right focal discrete boundary value problem. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, 17(11):1635–1642, 2011.
- [3] Richard I. Avery and Allan C. Peterson. Multiple positive solutions of a discrete second order conjugate problem. *PanAmer. Math. J.*, 8(3):1–12, 1998.

- [4] Theodore A. Burton. Fixed points, differential equations, and proper mappings. *Semin. Fixed Point Theory Cluj-Napoca*, 3:19–32, 2002. International Conference on Nonlinear Operators, Differential Equations and Applications (Cluj-Napoca, 2001).
- [5] Theodore A. Burton and Bo Zhang. Periodicity in delay equations by direct fixed point mapping. *Differential Equations Dynam. Systems*, 6(4):413–424, 1998.
- [6] Walter G. Kelley and Allan C. Peterson. *Difference equations*. Harcourt/Academic Press, San Diego, CA, second edition, 2001. An introduction with applications.
- [7] Sorin Mureşan and Octavia-Maria Nica. Comparing two integral operators in the approach of Cauchy problems. *An. Univ. Oradea Fasc. Mat.*, 19(1):197–205, 2012.
- [8] Ivar Stakgold and Michael Holst. *Green's functions and boundary value problems*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (Hoboken). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, third edition, 2011.