

Oscillatory Solutions of Nonlinear Fractional Difference Equations

G. E. Chatzarakis

School of Pedagogical and Technological Education (ASPETE)
Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Educators
N. Heraklio, Athens, 14121, Greece.
geaxatz@otenet.gr and gea.xatz@aspete.gr

P. Gokulraj, T. Kalaimani and V. Sadhasivam

Thiruvalluvar Government Arts College
PG and Research Department of Mathematics
Rasipuram, Namakkal - 637 401, Tamil Nadu, India.
gokulxlr8@gmail.com, kalaimaths4@gmail.com,
ovsadha@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we study the oscillatory behavior of the fractional difference equation of the form

$$\Delta(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma + q(t)f(x(t)) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_{t_0+1-\alpha},$$

where Δ^α denotes the Riemann left fractional difference operator of order α , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\gamma > 0$ is a quotient of odd positive integers. We establish some oscillatory criteria for the above equation, using the Riccati transformation and Hardy type inequalities. Examples are provided to illustrate our theoretical results.

AMS Subject Classifications: 26A33, 39A12.

Keywords: Oscillation, difference equations, fractional sum.

1 Introduction

Fractional difference equations have received considerable attention during the recent years. Fractional calculus finds significant application in the fields of viscoelasticity,

capacitor theory, electrical circuits, electro-analytical chemistry, tumor growth models, neurology, control theory, statistics and a review on this direction, see [16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26]. Significant progress has been made in the study of fractional differential equations, see [6, 7, 10, 12, 14, 27, 31]. In contrast, very little progress has been made in theory of fractional difference equations, see [1–5, 8, 9, 11, 15, 17, 21]. In particular, we observe that the oscillation of fractional difference equations has been studied by many authors in recent researches [13, 19, 24, 28, 29]. This is one of reasons to study difference equations with fractional order. Strong interest in the fractional difference equation (1.1) is motivated by the fact that it represents a discrete analogue of the following fractional differential equation

$$D_a^\alpha x(t) + q(t)f(x(t)) = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad t \in [a, +\infty], \quad a > 0,$$

where D_a^α denotes the Riemann–Liouville differential operator of order α and the above problem was investigated by Wang et al [30]. The objective is to study the oscillatory behavior of the solutions of fractional difference equations of the form

$$\Delta(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma + q(t)f(x(t)) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_{t_0+1-\alpha}. \quad (1.1)$$

Here Δ^α denotes the Riemann left fractional difference operator and $\gamma > 0$ is a quotient of odd positive integers.

In the paper, we assume the conditions

(H1) $q(t)$ is a positive sequence and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $\frac{f(x)}{(x^n)} \geq k$ for a positive constant k , n is a natural number for all $x \neq 0$ and

$$\left[\frac{c}{q(t)} \right]^\frac{1}{\gamma} \leq -N \text{ for } t \geq t_0 \text{ where } c < 0 \text{ and } N > 0.$$

(H2) $\frac{\Delta x(t)}{\Delta^\alpha x(t+1)} \geq M$, $\frac{\Delta x(t)}{\Delta^\alpha x(t)} \geq M^*$, $t \geq t_0$ for some positive constants M , M^*

for all $\Delta^\alpha x(t+1) \neq 0$, $\Delta^\alpha x(t) \neq 0$ and $\frac{(\Delta x(t))^2}{x(t)x(t+1)} \geq J$, $\Delta^2 x(t) \geq T$ for some positive constants J and T .

A solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if it has no last zero, i.e., if $x(t_1) = 0$, then there exists a $t_2 > t_1$ such that $x(t_2) = 0$. Equation (1.1) itself is said to be oscillatory if every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory. A solution $x(t)$ which is not oscillatory is called nonoscillatory.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we present some preliminary results from discrete fractional calculus. We will make use of these results, throughout the paper.

Definition 2.1 (See [23]). Let $\nu > 0$. The ν th fractional sum f is defined by

$$\Delta^{-\nu} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \sum_{s=a}^{t-\nu} (t-s-1)^{\nu-1} f(s),$$

where f is defined for $s \equiv a \pmod{1}$, $\Delta^{-\nu} f(t)$ is defined for $t \equiv (a + \nu) \pmod{1}$ and $t^{(\nu)} = \frac{\Gamma(t+1)}{\Gamma(t-\nu+1)}$. The fractional sum $\Delta^{-\nu} f$ maps functions defined in \mathbb{N}_a to functions defined in $\mathbb{N}_{a+\nu}$.

Definition 2.2 (See [23]). Let $\mu > 0$ and $m - 1 < \mu < m$, where m denotes a positive integer, $m = \lceil \mu \rceil$. Set $\nu = m - \mu$. The μ th order Riemann left fractional difference is defined as

$$\Delta^\mu f(t) = \Delta^{m-\nu} f(t) = \Delta^m \Delta^{-\nu} f(t),$$

where $\Delta^{-\nu} f(t)$ is ν th fractional sum.

Lemma 2.3 (See [29]). *If*

$$G(t) = \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1+\alpha} (t-s-1)^{(-\alpha)} x(s),$$

then

$$\Delta(G(t)) = \Gamma(1-\alpha) \Delta^\alpha(x(t)).$$

Lemma 2.4 (See [17]). *If X and Y are nonnegative, then*

$$mXY^{m-1} - X^m \leq (m-1)Y^m \text{ for } m > 1.$$

3 Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that (H1) and (H2) hold and*

$$\sum_{s=t_0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s) = \infty.$$

Furthermore, assume that there exists a positive sequence $r(t)$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(kr(s)q(s) - \frac{(\Delta r_+(s))^2}{4r(s+1)M^\gamma} \right) = \infty, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\Delta r_+(s) = \max\{\Delta r(s), 0\}$. Then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $x(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1). Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1). Then there exists $t_1 > t_0$ such that

$$x(t) > 0, G(t) > 0 \text{ and } f(x(t)) > 0 \text{ for } t \geq t_1,$$

where $G(t)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.3. From (1.1) we have

$$\Delta(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma = -q(t)f(x(t)) < 0 \text{ for } t \geq t_1.$$

Thus $(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma$ is an eventually non increasing sequence. Next we show that $(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma$ is eventually positive. Suppose there exists an integer $t_1 > t_0$ such that $(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma = c < 0$ for $t \geq t_1$, so that

$$(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma \leq (\Delta^\alpha x(t_1))^\gamma = c < 0,$$

or

$$(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma \leq c,$$

or

$$\Delta^\alpha x(t) \leq c^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3, we get that

$$\frac{\Delta G(t)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \leq c^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \left(\frac{q(t)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}{q(t)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right),$$

or

$$\Delta G(t) \leq \Gamma(1-\alpha) \left(\frac{c^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}{q(t)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right) q(t)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}.$$

Thus

$$\Delta G(t) \leq \Gamma(1-\alpha) \left(\frac{c}{q(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} q(t)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

i.e.,

$$\Delta G(t) \leq \Gamma(1-\alpha)(-N)q(t)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}.$$

Summing both sides of the last inequality from t_1 to $t-1$, we get

$$G(t) \leq G(t_1) + \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \Gamma(1-\alpha)(-N)q(s)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

which contradicts the fact that $G(t) > 0$. Hence $(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma$ is eventually positive.

Define the function $w(t)$ by the Riccati substitution

$$w(t) = \frac{r(t)(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma}{x^\gamma(t)}.$$

Since $r(t) > 0$, $x(t) > 0$ and $(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma > 0$, we have $w(t) > 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta w(t) &= \Delta \left[\frac{r(t)(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma}{x^\gamma(t)} \right] \\
 &= r(t)\Delta \left[\frac{(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma}{x^\gamma(t)} \right] + \frac{(\Delta^\alpha x(t+1))^\gamma}{x^\gamma(t+1)}\Delta r(t) \\
 &= r(t) \left[\frac{x^\gamma(t)\Delta(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma - (\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma\Delta x^\gamma(t)}{x^\gamma(t)x^\gamma(t+1)} \right] + \Delta r(t)\frac{w(t+1)}{r(t+1)} \\
 &\leq \frac{\Delta r_+(t)}{r(t+1)}w(t+1) + r(t) \left[\frac{-q(t)f(x(t))}{x^\gamma(t+1)} \right] - r(t) \left[\frac{(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma\Delta x^\gamma(t)}{x^\gamma(t)x^\gamma(t+1)} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{\Delta r_+(t)}{r(t+1)}w(t+1) - r(t)q(t)k \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{r(t+1)} \left[\frac{(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma\Delta x^\gamma(t)}{(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma(\Delta^\alpha x(t+1))^\gamma} \right] w^2(t+1) \\
 &\leq \frac{\Delta r_+(t)}{r(t+1)}w(t+1) - r(t)q(t)k - \frac{1}{r(t+1)} \left[\frac{\Delta x(t)}{\Delta^\alpha x(t+1)} \right]^\gamma w^2(t+1) \\
 &\leq \frac{\Delta r_+(t)}{r(t+1)}w(t+1) - r(t)q(t)k - \frac{M^\gamma}{r(t+1)}w^2(t+1). \tag{3.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $X = \sqrt{\frac{M^\gamma}{r(t+1)}}w(t+1)$ and $Y = \frac{\Delta r_+(t)}{2\sqrt{r(t+1)}M^\gamma}$. Using Lemma 2.4 and setting $m = 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\sqrt{\frac{M^\gamma}{r(t+1)}}w(t+1)\frac{\Delta r_+(t)}{2\sqrt{r(t+1)}M^\gamma} - \frac{M^\gamma}{r(t+1)}w^2(t+1) &\leq \frac{(\Delta r_+(t))^2}{4r(t+1)M^\gamma} \\
 \frac{\Delta r_+(t)}{r(t+1)}w(t+1) - \frac{M^\gamma}{r(t+1)}w^2(t+1) &\leq \frac{(\Delta r_+(t))^2}{4r(t+1)M^\gamma},
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\Delta w(t) \leq -kr(t)q(t) + \frac{(\Delta r_+(t))^2}{4r(t+1)M^\gamma}.$$

Summing the above inequality from t_1 to $t - 1$, we get

$$\sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \Delta w(s) \leq \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(-kr(s)q(s) + \frac{(\Delta r_+(s))^2}{4r(s+1)M^\gamma} \right),$$

or

$$w(t) - w(t_1) \leq \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(-kr(s)q(s) + \frac{(\Delta r_+(s))^2}{4r(s+1)M^\gamma} \right),$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(kr(s)q(s) - \frac{(\Delta r_+(s))^2}{4r(s+1)M^\gamma} \right) \leq w(t_1) - w(t) \leq w(t_1) < \infty \text{ for } t \geq t_1.$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(kr(s)q(s) - \frac{(\Delta r_+(s))^2}{4r(s+1)M^\gamma} \right) \leq w(t_1) < \infty,$$

which contradicts (3.1). The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that (H1) and (H2) hold and*

$$\sum_{s=t_0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(s) = \infty.$$

Furthermore, assume that there exists a positive sequence $r(t)$, and a double positive sequence $H(t, s)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} H(t, t) &= 0 \text{ for } t \geq t_0, \quad H(t, s) > 0 \text{ for } t > s \geq t_0, \\ \Delta_s H(t, s) &= H(t, s+1) - H(t, s) \leq 0 \text{ for } t > s \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \left(r(s)q(s)H(t, s) - k^{-1} \frac{h_+^2(t, s)r(s+1)}{4H(t, s)M^\gamma} \right) = \infty, \quad (3.3)$$

where $h_+(t, s) = \Delta_2 H(t, s) + H(t, s) \frac{\Delta r_+(s)}{r(s+1)}$ and $\Delta r_+(s) = \max\{\Delta r(s), 0\}$, then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $x(t)$ is a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1). Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1). Proceeding as in Theorem 3.1, we arrive at equation (3.2). Multiplying (3.2) by $H(t, s)$ and summing from t_1 to $t-1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} (H(t, s)\Delta w(s)) &\leq \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(\frac{\Delta r_+(s)}{r(s+1)} w(s+1)H(t, s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - r(s)q(s)kH(t, s) - H(t, s) \frac{M^\gamma}{r(s+1)} w^2(s+1) \right), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} r(s)q(s)kH(t, s) &\leq - \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} (H(t, s)\Delta w(s)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(\frac{\Delta r_+(s)}{r(s+1)} w(s+1)H(t, s) \right) - \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(H(t, s) \frac{M^\gamma}{r(s+1)} w^2(s+1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the summation by parts formula, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} (H(t, s)\Delta w(s)) &= -[H(t, s)w(s)]_{s=t_1}^t + \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} w(s+1)\Delta_2 H(t, s) \\ &= H(t, t_1)w(t_1) + \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} w(s+1)\Delta_2 H(t, s), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &k \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} r(s)q(s)H(t, s) \\ &\leq H(t, t_1)w(t_1) + \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} w(s+1)\Delta_2 H(t, s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(\frac{\Delta r_+(s)}{r(s+1)} w(s+1)H(t, s) \right) - \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(H(t, s) \frac{M^\gamma}{r(s+1)} w^2(s+1) \right) \\ &\leq H(t, t_1)w(t_1) + \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(\Delta_2 H(t, s) + \frac{\Delta r_+(s)}{r(s+1)} H(t, s) \right) w(s+1) \\ &\quad - \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(H(t, s) \frac{M^\gamma}{r(s+1)} w^2(s+1) \right) \\ &\leq H(t, t_1)w(t_1) + \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} h_+(t, s)w(s+1) - \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(H(t, s) \frac{M^\gamma}{r(s+1)} w^2(s+1) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $h_+(t, s) = \Delta_2 H(t, s) + \frac{\Delta r_+(s)}{r(s+1)} H(t, s)$

$$\leq H(t, t_1)w(t_1) + \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(h_+(t, s)w(s+1) - H(t, s) \frac{M^\gamma}{r(s+1)} w^2(s+1) \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Set

$$X = \sqrt{H(t, s) \frac{M^\gamma}{r(s+1)} w(s+1)} \text{ and } Y = \frac{h_+(t, s)}{2\sqrt{H(t, s) \frac{M^\gamma}{r(s+1)}}}.$$

Using Lemma 2.4 with $m = 2$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sqrt{H(t, s)\frac{M^\gamma}{r(s+1)}}w(s+1)\frac{h_+(t, s)}{2\sqrt{H(t, s)\frac{M^\gamma}{r(s+1)}}} \\ - H(t, s)\frac{M^\gamma}{r(s+1)}w^2(s+1) \leq \frac{h_+^2(t, s)r(s+1)}{4H(t, s)M^\gamma}, \\ h_+(t, s)w(s+1) - H(t, s)\frac{M^\gamma}{r(s+1)}w^2(s+1) \leq \frac{h_+^2(t, s)r(s+1)}{4H(t, s)M^\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

From equation (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 H(t, s) \leq 0 \text{ for } t > s \geq t_0, \quad 0 < H(t, t_1) \leq H(t, t_0) \text{ for } t > s \geq t_0, \\ \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} r(s)q(s)H(t, s) \leq k^{-1}H(t, t_1)w(t_1) + k^{-1}\sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{h_+^2(t, s)r(s+1)}{4H(t, s)M^\gamma}, \\ \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(r(s)q(s)H(t, s) - k^{-1}\frac{h_+^2(t, s)r(s+1)}{4H(t, s)M^\gamma} \right) \leq k^{-1}H(t, t_1)w(t_1) \\ \leq k^{-1}H(t, t_0)w(t_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < H(t, s) \leq H(t, t_0)$ for $t > s \geq t_0$ then we have $0 < \frac{H(t, s)}{H(t, t_0)} \leq 1$ for $t > s \geq t_0$. Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \left(r(s)q(s)H(t, s) - k^{-1}\frac{h_+^2(t, s)r(s+1)}{4H(t, s)M^\gamma} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \sum_{s=t_0}^{t_1-1} \left(r(s)q(s)H(t, s) - k^{-1}\frac{h_+^2(t, s)r(s+1)}{4H(t, s)M^\gamma} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(r(s)q(s)H(t, s) - k^{-1}\frac{h_+^2(t, s)r(s+1)}{4H(t, s)M^\gamma} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \sum_{s=t_0}^{t_1-1} (r(s)q(s)H(t, s)) + k^{-1}w(t_1) \\ & \leq \sum_{s=t_0}^{t_1-1} r(s)q(s) + k^{-1}w(t_1). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} \left(r(s)q(s)H(t, s) - k^{-1}\frac{h_+^2(t, s)r(s+1)}{4H(t, s)M^\gamma} \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{s=t_0}^{t_1-1} r(s)q(s) + k^{-1}w(t_1) < \infty,$$

which contradicts (3.3). The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that (H1) and (H2) hold. Furthermore assume that there exists a positive sequence $r(t)$ such that*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left[q(s)k + \left[\frac{J}{M^*} \right]^\gamma - \frac{T}{M^*} - \Delta r_+(s) \right] = \infty, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\Delta r_+(s) = \max\{\Delta r(s), 0\}$. Then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $x(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1). Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1). We proceed as in Theorem 3.1 to get that $(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma$ is positive. Now define the following function, using Riccati substitution

$$w(t) = \frac{(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma}{x^\gamma(t)} + \Delta^\alpha x(t) + r(t).$$

Thus

$$\Delta w(t) = \Delta \left[\frac{(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma}{x^\gamma(t)} + \Delta^\alpha x(t) + r(t) \right],$$

or

$$\Delta w(t) = \Delta \left[\frac{(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma}{x^\gamma(t)} \right] + \Delta[\Delta^\alpha x(t)] + \Delta r(t).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w(t) &\leq \frac{x^\gamma(t)\Delta[(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma] - (\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma \Delta x^\gamma(t)}{x^\gamma(t)x^\gamma(t+1)} + \Delta \left[\frac{\Delta x(t)}{M^*} \right] + \Delta r(t) \\ &= \frac{-q(t)f(x(t))}{x^\gamma(t+1)} - \frac{(\Delta^\alpha x(t))^\gamma}{x^\gamma(t)} \frac{\Delta x^\gamma(t)}{x^\gamma(t+1)} + \Delta \left[\frac{\Delta x(t)}{M^*} \right] + \Delta r(t) \\ &\leq -q(t)k - \left[\frac{\Delta^\alpha x(t)}{x(t)} \right]^\gamma \left[\frac{\Delta x(t)}{x(t+1)} \right]^\gamma + \frac{1}{M^*} \Delta^2 x(t) + \Delta r(t) \\ &\leq -q(t)k - \left[\frac{\Delta x(t)}{M^* x(t)} \right]^\gamma \left[\frac{\Delta x(t)}{x(t+1)} \right]^\gamma + \frac{T}{M^*} + \Delta r(t) \\ &= -q(t)k - \frac{1}{M^{*\gamma}} \left[\frac{(\Delta x(t))^2}{x(t)x(t+1)} \right]^\gamma + \frac{T}{M^*} + \Delta r(t) \\ &\leq -q(t)k - \frac{J^\gamma}{M^{*\gamma}} + \frac{T}{M^*} + \Delta r_+(t) \\ &= -q(t)k - \left[\frac{J}{M^*} \right]^\gamma + \frac{T}{M^*} + \Delta r_+(t). \end{aligned}$$

Summing the above inequality from t_1 to $t - 1$, we get

$$w(t) - w(t_1) \leq \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left[-q(s)k - \left[\frac{J}{M^*} \right]^\gamma + \frac{T}{M^*} + \Delta r_+(s) \right],$$

or

$$\sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left[q(s)k + \left[\frac{J}{M^*} \right]^\gamma - \frac{T}{M^*} - \Delta r_+(s) \right] \leq w(t_1) - w(t) \leq w(t_1) < \infty.$$

Taking $t \rightarrow \infty$ and sup, we get

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left[q(s)k + \left[\frac{J}{M^*} \right]^\gamma - \frac{T}{M^*} - \Delta r_+(s) \right] \leq w(t_1) < \infty,$$

which contradicts (3.5). The proof is complete. \square

4 Examples

Example 4.1. Consider the nonlinear fractional difference equation

$$\Delta(\Delta^{0.5}x(t))^\gamma + tf(x(t)) = 0 \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{N}_{t_0+0.5}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\alpha = 0.5$, $q(t) = t$ and $\gamma > 0$ is a quotient of odd positive integers. We apply Theorem 3.3 with $r(t) = \frac{1}{t^{\gamma+1}}$, $q(t) = t$, $T = 1$, $M^* = 1$ and $J = 1$. It is easy to see that (H1) and (H2) hold. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left[q(s)k + \left[\frac{J}{M^*} \right]^\gamma - \frac{T}{M^*} - \Delta r_+(s) \right] &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left[s - \frac{1}{s^{\gamma+1}} \right] \\ &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{s} \left[s^2 - \frac{1}{s^\gamma} \right] \\ &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{s} \left[\frac{s^{\gamma+2} - 1}{s^\gamma} \right] \\ &= \infty, \end{aligned}$$

that is condition (3.5) of Theorem 3.3 is satisfied. Therefore, all solutions of (4.1) are oscillatory.

Example 4.2. Consider the nonlinear fractional difference equation

$$\Delta(\Delta^{0.5}x(t))^\gamma + tf(x(t)) = 0 \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{N}_{t_0+0.5}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $q(t) = t$, $\alpha = 0.5$, and $\gamma > 0$ is a quotient of odd positive integers. Clearly $\sum_{s=t_0}^{\infty} t = \infty$ and conditions (H1) and (H2) hold. We apply Theorem 3.1 with $r(t) = \frac{1}{t^2}$, $k = 1$ and $M = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(kr(s)q(s) - \frac{(\Delta r_+(s))^2}{4r(s+1)M^\gamma} \right) &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \left(\frac{s}{s^2} - \frac{(s+1)^2}{4s^4} \right) \\ &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=t_1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{s} \left(1 - \frac{(s+1)^2}{4s^3} \right) \\ &= \infty, \end{aligned}$$

that is, condition (3.1) of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied. Therefore all solutions of (4.2) are oscillatory.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referee for the constructive remarks which greatly improved the paper.

References

- [1] G. A. Anastassiou, *Discrete fractional calculus and inequalities*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.3370v1>.
- [2] F. M. Atici and P. W. Eloe, A transform method in discrete fractional calculus, *Int. J. Difference Equ.*, 2 (2) (2007) 165–176.
- [3] F. M. Atici and P. W. Eloe, *Discrete fractional calculus with the nabla operator*, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, 3 (2009) 1–12.
- [4] F. M. Atici and P. W. Eloe, Initial value problems in discrete fractional calculus, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 137 (3) (2009) 981–989.
- [5] F. Chen, Fixed points and asymptotic stability of nonlinear fractional difference equations, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, 39 (2001) 1–18.
- [6] D. Chen, Oscillatory behavior of a class of Fractional differential equations with damping, *U. Politeh. Buch. Ser. A*, 75 (1) (2013) 107–118.
- [7] D. X. Chen, Oscillation criteria of fractional differential equations, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, 2012 (13) (2012) 18–33.

- [8] F. Chen, Z. Liu, Asymptotic Stability Results for Nonlinear Fractional Difference Equations, *J. Appl. Math.*, 2012 (2012) 1–14. doi:10.1155/2012/879657
- [9] F. Chen, X. Luo, Y. Zhou, Existence Results for Nonlinear Fractional Difference Equation, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, 2011 (2011) 1–12. doi:10.1155/2012/879657
- [10] D. Chen, P. Qu, Y. Lan, Forced oscillation of certain fractional differential equations, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, 2013 (125) (2012) 1–10.
- [11] J. B. Diaz and T. J. Olser, Differences of Fractional Order, *Math. Comput.*, 28 (125) (1974) 185–202.
- [12] K. Diethelm, *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations*, Springer, Berlin, 2010.
- [13] A. George Maria Selvam, M. Reni Sagayaraj and M. Paul Loganathan, Oscillatory behavior of a class of fractional difference equations with damping, *Int. J. Appl. Math. Res.*, 3 (3) (2014) 220–224.
- [14] S. R. Grace, R. P. Agarwal, Patricia J. Y. Wong, A. Zafer, On the Oscillation of Fractional Differential Equations, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 15 (2) (2012) 222–231.
- [15] H. Gray and N. Zhang, On a new definition of the fractional difference, *Math. Comput.*, 50 (182) (1988) 513–529.
- [16] Z. Han, Y. Zhao, Y. Sun, C. Zhang, Oscillation for a class of fractional differential equation, *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* 2013 (2013) 1–6.
- [17] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1959.
- [18] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, North-Holland Math. Studies 204, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [19] W. N. Li, Oscillation results for certain forced fractional difference equations with damping term, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, 2016 (70) (2016) 1–9.
- [20] R. Matusu, Application of fractional order calculus to control theory, *Int. J. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 5 (7) (2011) 1162–1169.
- [21] H. T. Michael, *The Theory of Discrete Fractional Calculus: Development and Application* (Spring 4-2011), Dissertations, Theses, and Student Research Papers in Mathematics, Paper 27.

- [22] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA, 1993.
- [23] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *Fractional Difference Calculus*, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus and their Applications, Nihon University, Japan, 1988.
- [24] M. Paul Loganathan, M. Reni Sagayaraj, A. George Maria Selvam, On the oscillation of non-linear fractional difference equations, *Math. Aeterna*, 4 (1) (2014) 91–99.
- [25] I. Petras, *Control of Fractional-Order Chuas System*, arXiv:nlin/0008029v1.
- [26] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, USA, 1999.
- [27] C. Qi and J. Cheng, Interval oscillation criteria for a class of fractional differential equations with damping term, *Math. Probl. Eng.*, 2013 (2013) 1–8.
- [28] M. Reni Sagayaraj, A. George Maria Selvam and M. Paul Loganathan, Oscillation criteria for a class of discrete nonlinear fractional equations, *Bull. Soc. Math. Serv. Stand.*, 3 (1) (2014) 27–35.
- [29] A. Secer and H. Adiguzel, Oscillation of solutions for a class of nonlinear fractional difference equations, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 9 (2016) 5862–5869.
- [30] Y. Wang, Z. Han, P. Zhao and S. Sun, On the oscillation and asymptotic behavior for a kind of fractional differential equations, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, 2014 (50) (2014) 1–11.
- [31] S. Xiang, Z. Han, P. Zhao and Y. Sun, Oscillatory behavior for a class of differential equations with fractional order derivatives, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2014 (2014) 1–9.