The Role of Symmetry and Concavity in the Existence of Solutions of a Difference Equation with Dirichlet Boundary Conditions

Jeffrey T. Neugebauer

Eastern Kentucky University Department of Mathematics and Statistics Richmond, Kentucky 40475, USA jeffrey.neugebauer@eku.edu

Abstract

In this paper, the layered compression-expansion fixed point theorem is applied to show the existence of solutions of a second order difference equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions where the nonlinearity is the sum of a monotonic increasing and a monotonic decreasing function. A cone consisting of nonnegative symmetric functions that satisfy a concavity condition is integral to the analysis.

AMS Subject Classifications: 39A10, 47H10. **Keywords:** Difference equation, positive solution, fixed point, cone.

1 Introduction

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, N > 1. In this paper, we give an application of an Avery, Anderson, and Henderson fixed point theorem to obtain at least one positive symmetric solution of the difference equation

$$\Delta^2 u(k) + f(u(k)) = 0, \quad k \in \{0, 1, ..., N\},$$
(1.1)

with boundary conditions

$$u(0) = u(N+2) = 0, (1.2)$$

where $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ and Δ^2 is the second forward difference operator which acts on u by $\Delta^2 u(k) = u(k+2) - 2u(k+1) + u(k)$. We assume $f = f_{\uparrow} + f_{\downarrow}$ is the sum of a monotonic increasing and a monotonic decreasing function, respectively,

Received September 1, 2020; Accepted September 1, 2020 Communicated by Paul Eloe

where $f_{\uparrow}, f_{\downarrow} : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$. Under certain conditions imposed on f_{\uparrow} and f_{\downarrow} , we show (1.1), (1.2) has a positive symmetric solution in the sense that $u(k) \ge 0$ and u(N+2-k) = u(k) for all $k \in \{0, 1, ..., N+2\}$.

Fixed point theorems due to Avery, Anderson, and Henderson and others, (see, for example, [4, 5, 7-9, 11-13]) when applied to boundary value problems with right focal boundary conditions, require functions from a cone to be nonnegative, nondecreasing and satisfy a concavity-like property [3, 14-17]. Boundary value problems with conjugate boundary conditions can also be studied using these fixed point theorems, but now the functions from the cone are required to be nondecreasing on half the interval and symmetric [1, 2, 10, 18]. Then the maximum value of the function occurs at the midpoint of the interval instead of the right endpoint, and by requiring symmetry, a similar approach can be taken.

In this paper, we see that by writing the nonlinearity f as a sum of a monotonic increasing and a monotonic decreasing function, the layered compression-expansion fixed point theorem [6] can be used to show the existence of positive symmetric solutions of a difference equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions. The proof of the main theorem relies on the fact that functions from the cone are symmetric and satisfy a concavity property.

2 The Fixed Point Theorem

Definition 2.1. Let *E* be a real Banach space. A nonempty closed convex set $\mathcal{P} \subset E$ is called a cone provided:

- (i) $u \in \mathcal{P}, \lambda \ge 0$ implies $\lambda u \in \mathcal{P}$;
- (ii) $u \in \mathcal{P}, -u \in \mathcal{P}$ implies u = 0.

Definition 2.2. A map α is said to be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on a cone \mathcal{P} of a real Banach space *E* if

$$\alpha: \mathcal{P} \to [0,\infty)$$

is continuous and

$$\alpha(tu + (1-t)v) \ge t\alpha(u) + (1-t)\alpha(v),$$

for all $u, v \in \mathcal{P}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Similarly, the map β is a nonnegative continuous convex functional on a cone \mathcal{P} of a real Banach space E if

$$\beta: \mathcal{P} \to [0,\infty)$$

is continuous and

$$\beta(tu + (1-t)v) \le t\beta(u) + (1-t)\beta(v),$$

for all $u, v \in \mathcal{P}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Let \mathcal{P} be a cone, let u and v be real numbers, ϕ be a continuous concave functional and ξ a continuous convex functional. Define

$$\mathcal{P}(\phi, u, \xi, v) = \{ x \in \mathcal{P} : \phi(x) < u \text{ and } \xi(x) > v \}.$$

We employ the following fixed point theorem to show the existence of positive symmetric solutions of (1.1), (1.2).

Lemma 2.3 (See [12]). Suppose \mathcal{P} is a cone in a real Banach space E, α and ψ are nonnegative continuous concave functionals on \mathcal{P} , β and θ are nonnegative continuous convex functionals on \mathcal{P} , and R, S, T are completely continuous operators on \mathcal{P} with T = R + S. If there exist nonnegative real numbers a, b, c, d and $(r_0, s_0) \in \mathcal{P}(\beta, b, \alpha, a) \times \mathcal{P}(\theta, c, \psi, d)$ such that

- (A0) $\mathcal{P}(\beta, b, \alpha, a) \times \mathcal{P}(\theta, c, \psi, d)$ is bounded;
- (A1) if $r \in \partial \mathcal{P}(\beta, b, \alpha, a)$ with $\alpha(r) = a$ and $s \in \overline{\mathcal{P}(\theta, c, \psi, d)}$, then $\alpha(R(r+s)) > a$;
- (A2) if $r \in \partial \mathcal{P}(\beta, b, \alpha, a)$ with $\beta(r) = b$ and $s \in \overline{\mathcal{P}(\theta, c, \psi, d)}$, then $\beta(R(r+s)) < b$;
- (A3) if $s \in \partial \mathcal{P}(\theta, c, \psi, d)$ with $\theta(s) = c$ and $r \in \overline{\mathcal{P}(\beta, b, \alpha, a)}$, then $\theta(S(r+s)) < c$; and
- (A4) if $s \in \partial \mathcal{P}(\theta, c, \psi, d)$ with $\psi(s) = d$ and $r \in \overline{\mathcal{P}(\beta, b, \alpha, a)}$, then $\psi(S(r+s)) > d$;

then there exists an $(r^*, s^*) \in \mathcal{P}(\beta, b, \alpha, a) \times \mathcal{P}(\theta, c, \psi, d)$ such that $x^* = r^* + s^*$ is a fixed point for T.

3 Preliminaries

Let E be Banach space

$$E = \{u : \{0, \dots, N+2\} \to \mathbb{R}\}$$

with the usual supremum norm

$$||u|| = \max_{k \in \{0,1,\dots,N+2\}} |u(k)|.$$

The corresponding Green's function for $-\Delta^2 u = 0$ satisfying the boundary conditions (1.2) is given by

$$H(k,l) = \frac{1}{N+2} \begin{cases} k(N+2-l), & k \in \{0,\dots,l\}, \\ l(N+2-k), & k \in \{l+1,\dots,N+2\}. \end{cases}$$

Thus if u is a solution of the summation equation

$$u(k) = \sum_{l=1}^{N+1} H(k, l) f(u(l)),$$

then u is a solution of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2). We also point out that for fixed k,

$$\sum_{l=1}^{N+1} H(k,l) = \frac{k}{2}(N+2-k).$$

For notational purposes, define

$$\bar{N} = \left\lfloor \frac{N+2}{2} \right\rfloor$$

to be the greatest integer less than or equal to $\frac{N+2}{2}$. Define the cone $\mathcal{P} \subset E$ by

 $\mathcal{P} = \left\{ u \in E : u(0) = 0, \ u(N+2-k) = u(k), \ u \text{ is nonnegative and nondecreasing} \\ \text{on } \left\{ 0, 1, \dots, \bar{N} \right\}, \text{ and } wu(y) \ge yu(w) \text{ for } w \ge y \text{ with } y, w \in \left\{ 0, 1, \dots, \bar{N} \right\} \right\}.$

Notice when N is even, if $u \in \mathcal{P}$, then the maximum value of u occurs when $k = \overline{N} = \frac{N+2}{2}$, and if N+2 is odd, the maximum value of u occurs when $k = \overline{N} = \frac{N+2-1}{2}$, and because of symmetry, at $k = \overline{N} + 1 = \frac{N+2+1}{2}$.

Let $\tau, \nu \in \{1, \dots, \bar{N} - 1\}$. For $u \in \mathcal{P}$, define the nonnegative continuous convex functionals β and θ on \mathcal{P} by

$$\beta(u) = \max_{l \in \{0, ..., \bar{N}\}} u(l) = u(\bar{N}),$$

and

$$\theta(u) = \max_{l \in \{0,\dots,\tau\}} u(l) = u(\tau),$$

and the nonnegative continuous concave functionals α and ψ on ${\cal P}$ by

$$\alpha(u) = \min_{l \in \{\nu, \dots, \bar{N}\}} u(l) = u(\nu),$$

and

$$\psi(u) = u(N).$$

4 Positive Symmetric Solutions

Theorem 4.1. Let $\tau, \nu \in \{1, ..., \overline{N} - 1\}$ and let a, b, c, d be nonnegative real numbers with b > a. If $f_{\downarrow}, f_{\uparrow} : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ are continuous with

$$(1) \quad f_{\uparrow}\left(a + \frac{\bar{N}}{\nu}d\right) > \frac{2a}{\nu(N+1-2\nu)};$$

$$(2) \quad f_{\uparrow}\left(b + \frac{\bar{N}}{\tau}c\right) < \frac{2b}{(\bar{N}(N+2-\bar{N}))};$$

$$(3) \quad f_{\downarrow}(0) < \frac{2c}{\tau(N+2-\tau)}; \text{ and}$$

$$(4) \quad f_{\downarrow}(b+d) > \frac{2d}{\bar{N}(N+2-\bar{N})}.$$

then there exists a solution $u^* \in \mathcal{P}$ of (1.1), (1.2).

Proof. Define the operators $T, R, S : E \to E$ by

$$Tu(k) = \sum_{l=1}^{N+1} H(k, l) f(u(l)),$$
$$Ru(k) = \sum_{l=1}^{N+1} H(k, l) f_{\uparrow}(u(l)),$$

and

$$Su(k) = \sum_{l=1}^{N+1} H(k,l) f_{\downarrow}(u(l)).$$

Now T = R + S, and if u is a fixed point of T, then u is a solution of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2).

Notice H has the properties that

$$H(N+2-k, N+2-l) = H(k, l), \qquad (4.1)$$

and

$$wH(y,l) \ge yH(w,l) \tag{4.2}$$

for all $w, y \in \{0, \ldots, N+2\}$ with $w \ge y$. Therefore, $T, R, S : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$. A standard application of the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem shows that T, R, and S are completely continuous.

We now show that (A0) holds. Let $(r, s) \in \mathcal{P}(\beta, b, \alpha, a) \times \mathcal{P}(\theta, c, \psi, d)$. Now,

$$r(k) \le r(N) = \beta(r) < b,$$

and

$$s(t) \le s(\bar{N}) \le \frac{\bar{N}}{\tau}s(\tau) < \frac{\bar{N}}{\tau}c.$$

Since r and s achieve their maximum values at $k = \overline{N}$, $\mathcal{P}(\beta, b, \alpha, a) \times \mathcal{P}(\theta, c, \psi, d)$ is bounded.

Here, we show (A1) holds. To do this, start by letting $r \in \partial \mathcal{P}(\beta, b, \alpha, a)$ with $\alpha(r) = a$ and $s \in \overline{\mathcal{P}(\theta, c, \psi, d)}$. Then, for $l \in \{\nu + 1, \dots, N + 1 - \nu\}$,

$$r(l) + s(l) \ge r(\nu) + s(\nu) \ge r(\nu) + \frac{\bar{N}}{\nu}s(\bar{N}) \ge a + \frac{\bar{N}}{\nu}d.$$

This implies that if $l \in \{\nu + 1, ..., N + 1 - \nu\}$, $f_{\uparrow}(r(l) + s(l)) \ge f_{\uparrow}\left(a + \frac{\bar{N}}{\nu}d\right)$. By assumption (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(R(r+s)) &= \sum_{l=1}^{N+1} H\left(\nu,l\right) f_{\uparrow}(r(l)+s(l)) \\ &\geq \sum_{l=\nu+1}^{N+1-\nu} H\left(\nu,l\right) f_{\uparrow}(r(l)+s(l)) \\ &\geq f_{\uparrow} \left(a+\frac{\bar{N}}{\nu}d\right) \sum_{l=\nu+1}^{N+1-\nu} \frac{\nu(N+2-l)}{N+2} \\ &= f_{\uparrow} \left(a+\frac{\bar{N}}{\nu}d\right) \frac{\nu}{2}(N+1-2\nu) \\ &> a. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that (A1) holds.

We show (A2) holds. Let $r \in \partial \mathcal{P}(\beta, b, \alpha, a)$ with $\beta(r) = b$ and $s \in \overline{\mathcal{P}(\theta, c, \psi, d)}$. Since r and s attain their maximum values at $l = \overline{N}$, for $l \in \{1, \ldots, \overline{N}\}$,

$$r(l) + s(l) \le r(\bar{N}) + s(\bar{N}) \le r(\bar{N}) + \frac{\bar{N}}{\tau}s(\tau) \le b + \frac{\bar{N}}{\tau}c.$$

Therefore, for $l \in \{1, ..., N+1\}$, $f_{\uparrow}(r(l) + s(l)) \leq f_{\uparrow}(b + \frac{N}{\tau}c)$. Assumption (2) gives that

$$\beta(R(r+s)) = \sum_{l=1}^{N+1} H(\bar{N}, l) f_{\uparrow}(r(l) + s(l))$$
$$\leq f_{\uparrow} \left(b + \frac{\bar{N}}{\tau} c \right) \sum_{l=1}^{N+1} H(\bar{N}, l)$$

488

$$\leq f_{\uparrow} \left(b + \frac{\bar{N}}{\tau} c \right) \frac{\bar{N}}{2} (N + 2 - \bar{N}) \\ < b.$$

The next step in the proof is to show (A3) holds. To do that, let $s \in \partial \mathcal{P}(\theta, c, \psi, d)$ with $\theta(s) = c$ and $r \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}(\beta, b, \alpha, a)$. Now $f_{\downarrow}(0) \ge f_{\downarrow}(r(l) + s(l))$ for all $l \in \{1, \ldots, \bar{N}\}$. By (3),

$$\theta(S(r+s)) = \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} H(\tau, l) f_{\downarrow}(r(l) + s(l))$$
$$\leq f_{\downarrow}(0) \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} H(\tau, l)$$
$$= f_{\downarrow}(0) \frac{\tau}{2} (N+2-\tau)$$
$$< c.$$

Finally, we show (A4) holds. Let $s \in \partial \mathcal{P}(\theta, c, \psi, d)$ with $\psi(s) = d$ and $r \in \overline{\mathcal{P}(\beta, b, \alpha, a)}$. For $l \in \{1, \ldots, N+1\}$,

$$r(l) + s(l) \le r(\bar{N}) + s(\bar{N}) \le b + d.$$

Then for $l \in \{1, \ldots, N+1\}$, $f_{\downarrow}(r(l) + s(l)) \ge f_{\downarrow}(b+d)$. By assumption (4), we have

$$\psi(S(r+s)) = \sum_{l=1}^{N+1} H(\bar{N}, l) f_{\downarrow}(r(l) + s(l))$$

$$\geq f_{\downarrow}(b+d) \sum_{l=1}^{N+1} H(\bar{N}, l)$$

$$= f_{\downarrow}(b+d) \frac{\bar{N}}{2} (N+2-\bar{N})$$

$$> d.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.3, T must have a fixed point $u^* \in \mathcal{P}$, and u^* is a solution of (1.1), (1.2).

Example 4.2. Let N = 22, $\tau = \nu = 6$, a = d = 0 and b = c = 1. Assumptions (1)–(4) of Theorem 4.1 reduce to

(1) $f_{\uparrow}(0) > 0;$

(2)
$$f_{\uparrow}(3) < \frac{1}{72};$$

(3)
$$f_{\downarrow}(0) < \frac{1}{54}$$
; and

(4) $f_{\downarrow}(2) > 0.$

If f is any function where $f = f_{\uparrow} + f_{\downarrow}$ with these four assumptions holding, then (1.1), (1.2) has a positive symmetric solution.

References

- [1] Abdulmalik A. Altwaty and Paul W. Eloe. Concavity of solutions of a 2*n*-th order problem with symmetry. *Opuscula Math.*, 33(4):603–613, 2013.
- [2] Abdulmalik A. Altwaty and Paul W. Eloe. The role of concavity in applications of Avery type fixed point theorems to higher order differential equations. *J. Math. Inequal.*, 6(1):79–90, 2012.
- [3] Douglas R. Anderson, Richard I. Avery, Johnny Henderson, X. Liu, and Jeffrey W. Lyons. Existence of a positive solution for a right focal discrete boundary value problem. J. Difference Equ. Appl., 17(11):1635–1642, 2011.
- [4] Douglas R. Anderson, Richard Avery, Johnny Henderson, and Xueyan Liu. Multiple fixed point theorems utilizing operators and functionals. *Commun. Appl. Anal.*, 16(3):377–387, 2012.
- [5] Douglas R. Anderson, Richard I. Avery, and Johnny Henderson. Functional expansion—compression fixed point theorem of Leggett-Williams type. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, pages No. 63, 9, 2010.
- [6] Douglas R. Anderson, Richard I. Avery, and Johnny Henderson. Layered compression-expansion fixed point theorem. *Res. Flxed Point Theory Appl.*, pages Article ID 201825, 10 pp., 2018.
- [7] Douglas R. Anderson, Richard I. Avery, Johnny Henderson, and Xueyan Liu. Operator type expansion-compression fixed point theorem. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, pages No. 42, 11, 2011.
- [8] Douglas R. Anderson, Richard I. Avery, Johnny Henderson, and Xueyan Liu. Fixed point theorem utilizing operators and functionals. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, pages No. 12, 16, 2012.
- [9] Richard I. Avery, Douglas Anderson, and Johnny Henderson. Some fixed point theorems of Leggett-Williams type. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 41(2):371–386, 2011.

490

- [10] Richard I. Avery, Paul W. Eloe, and Johnny Henderson. A Leggett-Williams type theorem applied to a fourth order problem. *Commun. Appl. Anal.*, 16(4):579–588, 2012.
- [11] Richard I. Avery, Douglas R. Anderson, and Johnny Henderson. An extension of the compression-expansion fixed point theorem of functional type. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, pages Paper No. 253, 12, 2016.
- [12] Richard I. Avery, Douglas R. Anderson, and Johnny Henderson. Generalization of the functional omitted ray fixed point theorem. *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.*, 25(1):39–51, 2018.
- [13] Richard I. Avery, Johnny Henderson, and Douglas R. Anderson. A topological proof and extension of the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem. *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.*, 16(4):39–44, 2009.
- [14] Richard I. Avery, Johnny Henderson, and Douglas R. Anderson. Existence of a positive solution to a right focal boundary value problem. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, pages No. 5, 6, 2010.
- [15] Sougata Dhar, Jeffrey W. Lyons, and Jeffrey T. Neugebauer. An application of the layered compression-expansion fixed point theorem to a fractional boundary value problem. *PanAmer. Math. J.*, 29(3):35–44, 2019.
- [16] Johnny Henderson, Xueyan Liu, Jeffrey W. Lyons, and Jeffrey T. Neugebauer. Right focal boundary value problems for difference equations. *Opuscula Math.*, 30(4):447–456, 2010.
- [17] Jeffrey W. Lyons and Jeffrey T. Neugebauer. Existence of a positive solution for a right focal dynamic boundary value problem. *Nonlinear Dyn. Syst. Theory*, 14(1):76–83, 2014.
- [18] Jeffrey T. Neugebauer and Charley L. Seelbach. A difference equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions. *Commun. Appl. Anal.*, 21(2):237–248, 2017.