

A Result on the Neutrix Composition of the Delta Function

Brian Fisher

Department of Mathematics
University of Leicester
Leicester LE1 7RH, England
fbr@le.ac.uk

Emin Özçağ

Department of Mathematics
Hacettepe University
Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey
ozcag1@hacettepe.edu.tr

Abstract

The neutrix composition $F(f(x))$ of a distribution $F(x)$ and a locally summable function $f(x)$ is said to exist and be equal to the distribution $h(x)$ if the neutrix limit of the sequence $\{F_n(f(x))\}$ is equal to $h(x)$, where $F_n(x) = F(x) * \delta_n(x)$ and $\{\delta_n(x)\}$ is a certain sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to the Dirac delta-function $\delta(x)$. It is proved that the neutrix composition $\delta^{(rsm-pm-1)}(x_+^{1/rm}/(1+x_+^{1/m}))$ exists for $r, s, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, rs - 1$.

AMS Subject Classifications: 46F10.

Keywords: Distribution, Dirac-delta function, composition of distributions, neutrix, neutrix limit.

1 Introduction

In distribution theory, no meaning can be generally given to expressions of the form $F(f(x))$, where F and f are distributions. However, the compositions $\delta(f)$ and $\delta'(f)$, where $f = 0$ is a surface in three-dimensional space, appear in wave propagation problems, see [2, 3]. Furthermore, in physics, one finds the need to evaluate δ^2 when calculating the transition rates of certain particle interaction (see [8]).

The technique of neglecting appropriately defined infinite quantities was devised by Hadamard, and the resulting finite value extracted from a divergent integral is referred to as the Hadamard finite part. In fact, his method can be regarded as a particular application of the neutrix calculus developed by van der Corput (see [1]).

Using the concepts of a neutrix and neutrix limit, the first author gave a general principle for the discarding of unwanted infinite quantities from asymptotic expansions, and this has been exploited particularly in connection with multiplication, convolution and composition of distributions, see [4, 5]. Using Fisher's definition, Koh and Li gave meaning to δ^r and $(\delta')^r$ for $r = 2, 3, \dots$, see [10], and the more general form $(\delta^{(s)}(x))^r$ was considered by Kou and Fisher in [11]. Recently the r th powers of the Dirac distribution and the Heaviside function for negative integers have been defined in [13] and [14], respectively.

In the following, we let \mathcal{D} be the space of infinitely differentiable functions φ with compact support and let $\mathcal{D}[a, b]$ be the space of infinitely differentiable functions with support contained in the interval $[a, b]$. We let \mathcal{D}' be the space of distributions defined on \mathcal{D} and let $\mathcal{D}'[a, b]$ be the space of distributions defined on $\mathcal{D}[a, b]$. Now let $\rho(x)$ be a function in \mathcal{D} having the following properties:

- (i) $\rho(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 1$,
- (ii) $\rho(x) \geq 0$,
- (iii) $\rho(x) = \rho(-x)$,
- (iv) $\int_{-1}^1 \rho(x) dx = 1$.

Putting $\delta_n(x) = n\rho(nx)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, it follows that $\{\delta_n(x)\}$ is a regular sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to the Dirac delta-function $\delta(x)$. Further, if F is a distribution in \mathcal{D}' and $F_n(x) = \langle F(x-t), \delta_n(x) \rangle$, then $\{F_n(x)\}$ is a regular sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to $F(x)$.

Now let $f(x)$ be an infinitely differentiable function having a single simple root at the point $x = x_0$. Gel'fand and Shilov defined the distribution $\delta^{(r)}(f(x))$ by the equation

$$\delta^{(r)}(f(x)) = \frac{1}{|f'(x_0)|} \left[\frac{1}{|f'(x)| \frac{d}{dx}} \right]^r \delta(x - x_0),$$

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, see [9].

In order to give a more general definition for the composition of distributions, the following definition for the neutrix composition of distributions was given in [4] and was originally called the composition of distributions.

Definition 1.1. Let F be a distribution in \mathcal{D}' and let f be a locally summable function. We say that the neutrix composition $F(f(x))$ exists and is equal to h on the open interval (a, b) if

$$\text{N-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_n(f(x)) \varphi(x) dx = \langle h(x), \varphi(x) \rangle$$

for all φ in $\mathcal{D}[a, b]$, where $F_n(x) = F(x) * \delta_n(x)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and N is the neutrix, see [1], having domain N' the positive integers and range N'' the real numbers, with negligible functions which are finite linear sums of the functions

$$n^\lambda \ln^{r-1} n, \ln^r n : \lambda > 0, r = 1, 2, \dots$$

and all functions which converge to zero in the usual sense as n tends to infinity.

In particular, we say that the composition $F(f(x))$ exists and is equal to h on the open interval (a, b) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_n(f(x)) \varphi(x) dx = \langle h(x), \varphi(x) \rangle$$

for all φ in $\mathcal{D}[a, b]$.

Note that taking the neutrix limit of a function $f(n)$ is equivalent to taking the usual limit of Hadamard's finite part of $f(n)$.

The following theorems were proved in [6, 7, 12] respectively.

Theorem 1.2. *The neutrix composition $\delta^{(s)}(\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda)$ exists and*

$$\delta^{(s)}(\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda) = 0$$

for $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $(s + 1)\lambda = 1, 3, \dots$ and

$$\delta^{(s)}(\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda) = \frac{(-1)^{(s+1)(\lambda+1)} s!}{\lambda[(s+1)\lambda - 1]} \delta^{((s+1)\lambda-1)}(x)$$

for $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $(s + 1)\lambda = 2, 4, \dots$

Theorem 1.3. *The neutrix composition $\delta^{(rs-m)}[x_+^{1/r}/(1 + x_+^{1/r})]$ exists and*

$$\delta^{(rs-m)}[x_+^{1/r}/(1 + x_+^{1/r})] = \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{(-1)^{rs-m+k} (rs-m)!}{2k!} \binom{rs-m+1}{rk+r} \delta^{(k)}(x),$$

for $r, s = 1, 2, \dots$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots, rs$, where

$$\binom{rs-m+1}{rk+r} = 0$$

if $rs - m + 1 < rk + r$. In particular, we have

$$\delta[x_+^{1/r}/(1 + x_+^{1/r})] = 0$$

for $r = 2, 3, \dots$ and

$$\delta[x_+/(1 + x_+)] = \frac{1}{2} \delta(x).$$

Theorem 1.4. *The neutrix composition $\delta^{(s)}[\ln^r(1 + x_+^{1/r})]$ exists and*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{(s)}[\ln^r(1 + x_+^{1/r})] \\ = \sum_{k=0}^s \sum_{i=0}^{kr+r-1} \binom{kr+r-1}{i} \frac{(-1)^{(r+1)k+r+s+i-1} s!(i+1)^{sr+r-1}}{2(sr+r-1)!k!} \delta^{(k)}(x) \end{aligned}$$

for $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $r = 1, 2, \dots$.

2 Main Results

We now need the following lemma, which can be easily proved by induction.

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$\int_{-1}^1 t^i \rho^{(s)}(t) dt = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq i < s, \\ (-1)^s s!, & i = s \end{cases}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 t^s \rho^{(s)}(t) dt = \frac{1}{2}(-1)^s s!$$

for $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

We now prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *The neutrix composition $\delta^{(rsm-pm-1)}[x_+^{1/rm}/(1 + x_+^{1/r})^{1/m}]$ exists and*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{(rsm-pm-1)}[x_+^{1/rm}/(1 + x_+^{1/r})^{1/m}] \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{(-1)^{rsm-pm+k-1} rm(rsm-pm-1)!}{2k!} \binom{rs-p}{rk+r} \delta^{(k)}(x), \quad (2.1) \end{aligned}$$

for $r, s, m = 1, 2, \dots$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, rs - 1$.

Proof. We will first of all prove equation (2.1) on the interval $[-1, 1]$. To do this, we need to evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-1}^1 x^k \delta_n^{(rsm-pm-1)}[x_+^{1/rm}/(1 + x_+^{1/r})^{1/m}] dx \\ &= \int_0^1 x^k \delta_n^{(rsm-pm-1)}[(x^{1/r}/(1 + x^{1/r}))^{1/m}] dx + \int_{-1}^0 x^k \delta_n^{(rsm-pm-1)}(0) dx \\ &= n^{rsm-pm} \int_0^1 x^k \rho^{(rsm-pm-1)}[nx^{1/rm}/(1 + x^{1/r})^{1/m}] dx \\ &\quad + n^{rsm-pm} \int_{-1}^0 x^k \rho^{(rsm-pm-1)}(0) dx \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

It is seen immediately that

$$\text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_2 = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

for $r, s, m = 1, 2, \dots$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Substituting $nx^{1/rm}/(1+x^{1/r})^{1/m} = t$, i.e.,

$$x = \frac{t^{rm}}{n^{rm}(1-t^m/n^m)^r},$$

we have

$$dx = \frac{rmt^{rm-1} dt}{n^{rm}(1-t^m/n^m)^{r+1}}.$$

Then for $n > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= rmn^{rm(s-k-1)-pm} \int_0^1 \frac{t^{rm(k+1)-1}}{(1-t^m/n^m)^{r(k+1)+1}} \rho^{(rsm-pm-1)}(t) dt \\ &= rm \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \binom{rk+r+1}{i} \frac{t^{rm(k+1)+mi-1}}{n^{-rm(s-k-1)+m(i+p)}} \rho^{(rsm-pm-1)}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1 &= \text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 x^k \delta_n^{(rsm-pm-1)} [x^{1/rm}/(1+x^{1/r})^{1/m}] dx \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{rsm-pm-1} rm (rsm-pm-1)!}{2} \binom{rs-p}{rk+r}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

on using Lemma 2.1, for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s-1$ and $r, s, m = 1, 2, \dots$ and $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, rs-1$. Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 |x^s \delta_n^{(rsm-pm-1)} [x^{1/rm}/(1+x^{1/r})^{1/m}]| dx \\ &\leq rmn^{-rm-pm} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{t^{rm(s+1)-1}}{(1-t^m/n^m)^{r(s+1)+1}} \rho^{(rsm-pm-1)}(t) \right| dt, \\ &= O(n^{-rm-pm}) \end{aligned}$$

and so if $\psi(x)$ is an arbitrary continuous function, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 x^s \delta_n^{(rsm-pm-1)} [x^{1/rm}/(1+x^{1/r})^{1/m}] \psi(x) dx = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

for $r, s, m = 1, 2, \dots$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^0 x^s \delta_n^{(rsm-pm-1)}(0) \psi(x) dx \\ &= \text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{rsm-pm} \int_{-1}^0 x^s \rho^{(rsm-pm-1)}(0) \psi(x) dx \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for $r, s, m = 1, 2, \dots$. Now let φ be an arbitrary function in $\mathcal{D}[-1, 1]$. By Taylor's theorem, we have

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{x^k \varphi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} + \frac{x^s \varphi^{(s)}(\xi x)}{s!},$$

where $0 < \xi < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{N-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \delta_n^{(rsm-pm-1)} [x_+^{1/rm} / (1 + x_+^{1/r})^{1/m}], \varphi(x) \rangle \\ &= \text{N-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \int_{-1}^1 x^k \delta_n^{(rsm-pm-1)} [x_+^{1/rm} / (1 + x_+^{1/r})^{1/m}] dx \\ &\quad + \text{N-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{x^s}{s!} \delta_n^{(rsm-pm-1)} [x_+^{1/rm} / (1 + x_+^{1/r})^{1/m}] \varphi^{(s)}(\xi x) dx \\ &= \text{N-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \int_0^1 x^k \delta_n^{(rsm-pm-1)} [x^{1/r} / (1 + x^{1/r})] dx \\ &\quad + \text{N-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \int_{-1}^0 x^k \delta_n^{(rsm-pm-1)}(0) dx \\ &\quad + \text{N-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \frac{x^s}{s!} \delta_n^{(rsm-pm-1)} [x^{1/r} / (1 + x^{1/r})] \varphi^{(s)}(\xi x) dx \\ &\quad + \text{N-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^0 \frac{x^s}{s!} \delta_n^{(rsm-pm-1)}(0) \varphi^{(s)}(\xi x) dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{(-1)^{rsm-pm-1} r m (rsm - pm - 1)!}{2k!} \binom{rs-p}{rk+r} \varphi^{(k)}(0) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{(-1)^{rsm-pm+k-1} r m (rsm - pm - 1)!}{2k!} \binom{rs-p}{rk+r} \langle \delta^{(k)}(x), \varphi(x) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

on using equations (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5). This proves that the neutrix composition $\delta^{(rsm-pm-1)} [x_+^{1/r} / (1 + x_+^{1/r})]$ exists and

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta^{(rsm-pm-1)} [x_+^{1/r} / (1 + x_+^{1/r})] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{(-1)^{rsm-pm+k-1} r m (rsm - pm - 1)!}{2k!} \binom{rs-p}{rk+r} \delta^{(k)}(x), \end{aligned}$$

on the interval $[-1, 1]$ for $r, s, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, rs - 1$. \square

Replacing x by $-x$ in Theorem 1.4, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *The neutrix composition $\delta^{(rsm-pm-1)}[x_-^{1/rm}/(1+x_-^{1/r})^{1/m}]$ exists and*

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta^{(rsm-pm-1)}[x_-^{1/rm}/(1+x_-^{1/r})^{1/m}] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{(-1)^{rsm-pm-1} rm (rsm-pm-1)!}{2k!} \binom{rs-p}{rk+r} \delta^{(k)}(x), \end{aligned}$$

for $r, s, m = 1, 2, \dots$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, rs - 1$.

Corollary 2.4. *The neutrix composition $\delta^{(rsm-pm-1)}[|x|^{1/rm}/(1+|x|^{1/r})^{1/m}]$ exists and*

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta^{(rsm-pm-1)}[|x|^{1/rm}/(1+|x|^{1/r})^{1/m}] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{(-1)^{rsm-pm-1} [1+(-1)^k] rm (rsm-pm-1)!}{2k!} \binom{rs-p}{rk+r} \delta^{(k)}(x), \quad (2.6) \end{aligned}$$

for $r, s, m = 1, 2, \dots$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, rs - 1$.

Proof. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-1}^1 x^k \delta^{(rsm-pm-1)}[|x|^{1/rm}/(1+|x|^{1/r})^{1/m}] dx \\ &= [1+(-1)^k] \int_0^1 x^k \delta^{(rsm-pm-1)}[|x|^{1/rm}/(1+|x|^{1/r})^{1/m}] dx, \end{aligned}$$

we see that equation (2.6) follows. □

Acknowledgment

The first author is supported by Tübitak. The second author acknowledges support under Research Project Number 10 G 702001, awarded by the Hacettepe University Scientific Research Unit.

References

- [1] J. G. van der Corput, Introduction to the neutrix calculus, *J. Analyse Math.*, **7** (1959), 291–398.
- [2] F. Farassat, Introduction to generalized functions with applications in aerodynamics and aeroacoustics, *NASA Technical Paper* 3428, (1996), 1–45.
- [3] F. Farassat, The integration of $\delta'(f)$ in a multidimensional space, *J. Sound Vibration*, **230(2)**(2000), 460–462.

- [4] B. Fisher, On defining the change of variable in distributions, *Rostock. Math. Kolloq.*, **28**(1985), 75–86.
- [5] B. Fisher, On defining the distribution $\delta^{(r)}(f(x))$, *Rostock. Math. Kolloq.*, **23** (1993), 73–80.
- [6] B. Fisher, The delta function and the composition of distributions, *Dem. Math.* **35**(1)(2002), 117–123.
- [7] B. Fisher and T. Kraiweeradechachai, A neutrix composition involving the delta function, submitted.
- [8] S. Gasirowicz, *Elementary particle physics*, J. Wiley and Sons Inc. New York, 1966.
- [9] I. M. Gel'fand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions*, Vol. I, Academic Press, 1964.
- [10] E. L. Koh and C. K. Li, On Distributions δ^k and $(\delta')^k$, *Math. Nachr.*, **157** (1992), 243–248.
- [11] H. Kou and B. Fisher, On Composition of Distributions, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **40(3-4)** (1992), 279–290.
- [12] T. Kraiweeradechachai, S. Orankitjaroen, B. Fisher and E. Özçağ, Further results on the neutrix composition of the delta function, *East-West J. Math.*, **11**(2)(2009), 151–164.
- [13] E. Özçağ, Defining the k th Powers of the Dirac Delta Distribution for Negative Integers, *Appl. Math. Letters*, **14** (2001), 419–423.
- [14] E. Özçağ, I. Ege and H. Gürçay, On Powers of the Heaviside Function for negative integers, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **326** (2007), 101–107.