

Exponential Expansiveness and Variational Integral Equations

Bogdan Sasu

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,
West University of Timișoara, Parvan Blv. No. 4,
300223-Timișoara, Romania
E-mail: bsasu@math.uvt.ro*

Abstract

We associate with a linear skew-product flow $\pi = (\Phi, \sigma)$ a variational integral equation and we characterize the exponential expansiveness of π in terms of the solvability of the associated equation. We prove that a linear skew-product flow on $X \times \Theta$ is uniformly exponentially expansive if and only if the pair $(V(\mathbb{R}_+, X), C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X))$ is uniformly exactly admissible for π , where $V(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ denotes one of the spaces $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ or $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$.

AMS subject classification: 47D06, 34D05, 34G99.

Keywords: Linear skew-product flow, exponentially expansive.

1. Introduction

Let Θ be a metric space and let σ be a flow on Θ . We consider the homogeneous equation

$$(A) \quad \dot{x}(t) = A(\sigma(\theta, t))x(t), \quad t \geq 0$$

and the nonhomogeneous equation

$$(A, v) \quad \dot{x}(t) = A(\sigma(\theta, t))x(t) + v(t), \quad t \geq 0$$

Received July 21, 2006; Accepted September 9, 2006

where $A(\theta)$ are linear operators on a Banach space X . If there exists a linear skew-product flow $\pi = (\Phi, \sigma)$ associated with (A) , then for every $\theta \in \Theta$ the mild solution of (A, v) is given by:

$$f(t) = \Phi(\sigma(\theta, s), t - s)f(s) + \int_s^t \Phi(\sigma(\theta, \tau), t - \tau)v(\tau) d\tau, \quad \forall t \geq s \geq 0.$$

The existence of the linear skew-product flow associated with the variational differential equation (A) is conditioned by specific conditions regarding the family of linear operators $\{A(\theta)\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$. Thus in the last few years a special attention was devoted directly to the general case of linear skew-product flows, providing characterizations for the asymptotic properties of cocycles over flows and consequently for the solutions of variational differential equations. In this sense we refer the reader to [1, 4, 5, 10, 11] and the references therein.

In recent years, beside stability and dichotomy (see [1–4, 10]) a special attention was devoted to the study of expansiveness of evolution equations (see [5–9, 11]). The autonomous case was treated in [8], the case of evolution families on the half-line was considered in [6, 7] and the variational case was studied in [5, 9] and [11]. In the variational case, we may associate with a linear skew-product flow $\pi = (\Phi, \sigma)$ at every point $\theta \in \Theta$ the integral equation

$$(E_\theta) \quad f(t) = \Phi(\sigma(\theta, s), t - s)f(s) + \int_s^t \Phi(\sigma(\theta, \tau), t - \tau)v(\tau) d\tau, \quad \forall t \geq s \geq 0$$

with $v \in I(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ — the input space and $f \in O(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ — the output space. Then, the exponential expansiveness can be expressed in terms of the admissibility of the pair $(O(\mathbb{R}_+, X), I(\mathbb{R}_+, X))$ for π , which means that for every $(\theta, v) \in \Theta \times I(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$, the equation (E_θ) has a unique solution $f \in O(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. In [5], we proved that the uniform complete admissibility of the pair $(C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X), C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X))$ is equivalent with the uniform exponential expansiveness of π . The main result in [9] states that the uniform complete admissibility of the pair $(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X), L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, X))$ is a sufficient condition for the uniform exponential expansiveness of π .

The aim of the present paper is to obtain new characterizations for uniform exponential expansiveness of linear skew-product flows. We will introduce a general concept of admissibility, which optimizes and generalizes the admissibility concepts in [5] and [9]. We will consider $C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ as input space and using constructive steps we will establish the connections between the exact admissibility of the pair $(V(\mathbb{R}_+, X), C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X))$ and the expansiveness of a linear skew-product flow. Our main result improves and extends the expansiveness results in [5–9].

2. Main Results

Let X be a real or a complex Banach space. The norm on X and on $\mathcal{L}(X)$ — the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on X will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. Let I be the identity operator on X .

Let (Θ, d) be a metric space and $\mathcal{E} := X \times \Theta$.

Definition 2.1. A mapping $\sigma : \Theta \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Theta$ is called a *flow* on Θ if $\sigma(\theta, 0) = \theta$, for all $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\sigma(\theta, t + s) = \sigma(\sigma(\theta, t), s)$, for all $(\theta, t, s) \in \Theta \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

Definition 2.2. A pair $\pi = (\Phi, \sigma)$ is called *linear skew-product flow* on \mathcal{E} if σ is a flow on Θ and $\Phi : \Theta \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ has the following properties:

- (i) $\Phi(\theta, 0) = I$, for all $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\Phi(\theta, t + s) = \Phi(\sigma(\theta, s), t)\Phi(\theta, s)$ (*the cocycle identity*), for all $(\theta, t, s) \in \Theta \times \mathbb{R}_+^2$;
- (ii) there are $M, \omega > 0$ such that $\|\Phi(\theta, t)\| \leq M e^{\omega t}$, for all $(\theta, t) \in \Theta \times \mathbb{R}_+$;
- (iii) for every $x \in X$ the mapping $(\theta, t) \mapsto \Phi(\theta, t)x$ is continuous.

Definition 2.3. A linear skew-product flow $\pi = (\Phi, \sigma)$ is said to be *uniformly exponentially expansive* if for every $(\theta, t) \in \Theta \times \mathbb{R}_+$ the operator $\Phi(\theta, t)$ is invertible and there are two constants $K, \nu > 0$ such that $\|\Phi(\theta, t)x\| \geq K e^{\nu t}\|x\|$, for all $(x, \theta, t) \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathbb{R}_+$.

Let $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ be the linear space of all continuous functions $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$ with $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\| < \infty$ and let $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X) := \{u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, X) : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = 0\}$. With respect to the norm

$$\|u\| := \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\|$$

$C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ and $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ are Banach spaces.

For every $p \in [1, \infty)$, we consider $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ the space of all Bochner measurable functions $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$ with $\int_0^\infty \|f(\tau)\|^p d\tau < \infty$, which is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int_0^\infty \|f(\tau)\|^p d\tau \right)^{1/p}.$$

We denote by $C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ the linear space of all continuous functions $v : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$ with compact support.

Let $V(\mathbb{R}_+, X) \in \{C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, X), C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)\}$.

Definition 2.4. The pair $(V(\mathbb{R}_+, X), C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X))$ is said to be *exactly admissible* for $\pi = (\Phi, \sigma)$ if for every $\theta \in \Theta$ and every $v \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ there exists a unique function $f \in V(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ such that the pair (f, v) satisfies the integral equation

$$(E_\theta) \quad f(t) = \Phi(\sigma(\theta, s), t - s)f(s) + \int_s^t \Phi(\sigma(\theta, \tau), t - \tau)v(\tau) d\tau, \quad \forall t \geq s \geq 0.$$

Theorem 2.5. If the pair $(V(\mathbb{R}_+, X), C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X))$ is exactly admissible for $\pi = (\Phi, \sigma)$, then $\Phi(\theta, t)$ is invertible, for all $(\theta, t) \in \Theta \times \mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof. Let $(\theta, r) \in \Theta \times (0, \infty)$.

Injectivity. Let $x \in \text{Ker } \Phi(\theta, r)$. We define $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$, $f(t) = \Phi(\theta, t)x$. Then $f \in V(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ and an easy computation shows that the pair $(f, 0)$ satisfies the equation (E_θ) . From the exact admissibility, it follows that $f \equiv 0$. In particular, $x = f(0) = 0$, so $\Phi(\theta, r)$ is injective.

Surjectivity. Let $x \in X$ and let $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function with compact support such that $\text{supp } \alpha \subset (r, r+1)$ and $\int_r^{r+1} \alpha(\tau) d\tau = 1$. We consider

$$v : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X, \quad v(t) = -\alpha(t)\Phi(\sigma(\theta, r), t-r)x$$

and then $v \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. Let $f \in V(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ be such that the pair (f, v) satisfies the equation (E_θ) . This implies that

$$f(t) = \Phi(\sigma(\theta, s), t-s)f(s) - \left(\int_s^t \alpha(\tau) d\tau \right) \Phi(\sigma(\theta, r), t-r)x \quad (2.1)$$

for all $t \geq s \geq 0$. From (2.1) we have that $f(r) = \Phi(\theta, r)f(0)$. We define

$$g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X, \quad g(t) = f(t+r) - \left(\int_{t+r}^\infty \alpha(\tau) d\tau \right) \Phi(\sigma(\theta, r), t)x.$$

Then $g \in V(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ and using (2.1) we deduce that the pair $(g, 0)$ satisfies the equation $(E_{\sigma(\theta, r)})$. From the exact admissibility, we deduce that $g = 0$. In particular, $g(0) = 0$, so

$$f(r) = \left(\int_r^\infty \alpha(\tau) d\tau \right) x = x.$$

It follows that $x = f(r) = \Phi(\theta, r)f(0) \in \text{Im } \Phi(\theta, r)$, so $\Phi(\theta, r)$ is surjective and the proof is complete. \blacksquare

Remark 2.6. If the pair $(V(\mathbb{R}_+, X), C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X))$ is exactly admissible for $\pi = (\Phi, \sigma)$, then for every $\theta \in \Theta$, we may consider the linear operator $L_\theta : C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X) \rightarrow V(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$, $L_\theta(v) = f$, where $f \in V(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ has the property that the pair (f, v) satisfies the equation (E_θ) .

Definition 2.7. The pair $(V(\mathbb{R}_+, X), C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X))$ is said to be *uniformly exactly admissible* for π if there are $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that $\|L_\theta(v)\| \leq \lambda \|v\|_p$, for all $(\theta, v) \in \Theta \times C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$.

Theorem 2.8. If the pair $(V(\mathbb{R}_+, X), C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X))$ is uniformly exactly admissible for $\pi = (\Phi, \sigma)$, then there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi(\theta, t)x\| \geq \delta \|x\|, \quad \forall (x, \theta) \in \mathcal{E}, \forall t \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda > 0$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$ be given by Definition 2.7. Let $M, \omega > 0$ be given by Definition 2.2.

Let $(x, \theta) \in \mathcal{E}$ and let $t_0 \geq 0$. We consider a function $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, 2]$ with compact support such that $\text{supp } \alpha \subset (t_0, t_0 + 1)$ and $\int_{t_0}^{t_0+1} \alpha(\tau) d\tau = 1$. We define

$$v : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X, \quad v(t) = -\alpha(t)\Phi(\theta, t)x$$

$$f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X, \quad f(t) = \int_t^\infty \alpha(\tau) d\tau \Phi(\theta, t)x.$$

We have that $f, v \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ and an easy computation shows that the pair (f, v) satisfies the equation (E_θ) . This shows that $f = L_\theta(v)$, so $\|f\| \leq \lambda \|v\|_p$. In particular, we deduce that

$$\|x\| = \|f(0)\| \leq \|f\| \leq \lambda \|v\|_p. \quad (2.2)$$

Since $\|\Phi(\theta, t)x\| \leq M e^\omega \|\Phi(\theta, t_0)x\|$, for all $t \in (t_0, t_0 + 1)$, we have that

$$\|v(t)\| = \alpha(t) \|\Phi(\theta, t)x\| \leq \alpha(t) M e^\omega \|\Phi(\theta, t_0)x\|, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

which implies that

$$\|v\|_p \leq 2 M e^\omega \|\Phi(\theta, t_0)x\|. \quad (2.3)$$

Setting $\delta = 1/(2\lambda M e^\omega)$, from (2.2) and (2.3) it follows that $\|\Phi(\theta, t_0)x\| \geq \delta \|x\|$. Taking into account that δ does not depend on θ, t_0 or x the proof is complete. ■

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 2.9. A linear skew-product flow $\pi = (\Phi, \sigma)$ is uniformly exponentially expansive if and only if the pair $(V(\mathbb{R}_+, X), C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X))$ is uniformly exactly admissible for π .

Proof. Necessity. Let $K, \nu > 0$ be such that $\|\Phi(\theta, t)x\| \geq K e^{\nu t} \|x\|$, for all $(x, \theta) \in \mathcal{E}$ and all $t \geq 0$. Let $\theta \in \Theta$ and $v \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. We define

$$f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X, \quad f(t) = - \int_t^\infty \Phi(\sigma(\theta, t), \tau - t)^{-1} v(\tau) d\tau.$$

Since $v \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ we have that $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. In particular, $f \in V(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$. An easy computation shows that the pair (f, v) satisfies the equation (E_θ) .

Let $\tilde{f} \in V(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ be such that the pair (\tilde{f}, v) satisfies the equation (E_θ) . Setting $g := \tilde{f} - f$ we have that $g(t) = \Phi(\sigma(\theta, s), t - s)g(s)$, for all $t \geq s \geq 0$.

Let $s \geq 0$. From

$$\|g(s)\| \leq \frac{1}{K} e^{-\nu(t-s)} \|g(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{K} e^{-\nu(t-s)} \|g\|, \quad \forall t \geq s$$

it follows that $g(s) = 0$. This shows that $g = 0$, so f is uniquely determined. Then, we have that the pair $(V(\mathbb{R}_+, X), C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X))$ is exactly admissible for π .

Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. Setting $p' = p/(p-1)$ and using Hölder's inequality we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t)\| &\leq \frac{1}{K} \int_t^\infty e^{-\nu(\tau-t)} \|v(\tau)\| d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{K} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\nu p' s} ds \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_p = \frac{1}{K(\nu p')^{1/p'}} \|v\|_p, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$|||L_\theta(v)||| \leq \frac{1}{K(\nu p')^{1/p'}} \|v\|_p, \quad \forall v \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X), \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

so the pair $(V(\mathbb{R}_+, X), C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X))$ is uniformly exactly admissible for π .

Sufficiency. From Theorem 2.5 we have that $\Phi(\theta, t)$ is invertible, for all $(\theta, t) \in \Theta \times \mathbb{R}_+$. According to Theorem 2.8 there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi(\theta, t)x\| \geq \delta \|x\|, \quad \forall (x, \theta) \in \mathcal{E}, \forall t \geq 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $\lambda > 0$, $p \in (1, \infty)$ be given by Definition 2.7. We set $k := \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(t+1)^p} dt \right)^{1/p}$ and let $h > 0$ be such that

$$\int_0^h \frac{1}{s+1} ds \geq \frac{\lambda k e}{\delta}. \quad (2.5)$$

Let $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a continuous function with $\alpha(t) = 1$, for all $t \in [0, h]$ and $\alpha(t) = 0$, for all $t \geq h+1$.

Let $(x, \theta) \in \mathcal{E}$. We consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} v : \mathbb{R}_+ &\rightarrow X, \quad v(t) = -\frac{\alpha(t)}{t+1} \Phi(\theta, t)x \\ f : \mathbb{R}_+ &\rightarrow X, \quad f(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{\alpha(\tau)}{\tau+1} d\tau \Phi(\theta, t)x. \end{aligned}$$

We have that $f \in V(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$, $v \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ and an easy computation shows that the pair (f, v) satisfies the equation (E_θ) . It follows that $f = L_\theta(v)$, so

$$|||f||| \leq \lambda \|v\|_p. \quad (2.6)$$

Using (2.4) we have that

$$\|v(t)\| \leq \frac{\chi_{[0, h+1]}(t)}{t+1} \|\Phi(\theta, t)x\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta(t+1)} \|\Phi(\theta, h+1)x\|, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

which implies that $\|v\|_p \leq (k/\delta) \|\Phi(\theta, h+1)x\|$. Then, from (2.6) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^h \frac{1}{\tau+1} d\tau \right) \|x\| &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{\alpha(\tau)}{\tau+1} d\tau \right) \|x\| = \|f(0)\| \\ &\leq \||f|\| \leq \frac{\lambda k}{\delta} \|\Phi(\theta, h+1)x\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.5) and (2.7) we obtain that $\|\Phi(\theta, h+1)x\| \geq e\|x\|$. We set $l = h+1$ and note that l does not depend on x or θ . It follows that

$$\|\Phi(\theta, l)x\| \geq e\|x\|, \quad \forall(x, \theta) \in \mathcal{E}. \quad (2.8)$$

Let $(x, \theta) \in \mathcal{E}$ and $t \geq 0$. Then, there are $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in [0, l)$ such that $t = jl + r$. Using (2.4) and (2.8) we have that

$$\|\Phi(\theta, t)x\| \geq \delta \|\Phi(\theta, jl)x\| \geq \delta e^j \|x\| \geq K e^{\nu t} \|x\|$$

where $\nu = \frac{1}{l}$ and $K = \frac{\delta}{e}$. In conclusion, π is uniformly exponentially expansive. ■

Acknowledgement

Most of the work on this paper was done in July 2006, during the visit of the author at Laboratory Dieudonné, University of Nice. The author would like to express his special thanks to Professor Bernard Rousselet for inviting him and also to Professor Philippe Maisonobe, the director of Laboratory Dieudonné, for his hospitality.

The work was supported by the CNCSIS Research Grant AT 50/2006 and by the CEEEX Research Grant ET 4/2006.

References

- [1] C. Chicone and Y. Latushkin, Evolution Semigroups in Dynamical Systems and Differential Equations, *Math. Surveys and Monographs*, Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 70, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [2] C. Chilarescu, A. Pogan, and C. Preda, On the connection between the exponential stability of C_0 -semigroups and the admissibility of a certain Sobolev space, *Systems Control Lett.*, 53:299–302, 2004.
- [3] C. Chilarescu, A. Pogan, and C. Preda, A characterization of the exponential stability of evolutionary processes in terms of the admissibility of Orlicz spaces, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino*, 2:169–168, 2005.
- [4] S.N. Chow and H. Leiva, Existence and roughness of the exponential dichotomy for linear skew-product semiflows in Banach spaces, *J. Differential Equations*, 120:429–477, 1995.

- [5] M. Megan, A.L. Sasu, and B. Sasu, Perron conditions for uniform exponential expansiveness of linear skew-product flows, *Monatsh. Math.*, 138:145–157, 2003.
- [6] M. Megan, B. Sasu, and A.L. Sasu, Exponential expansiveness and complete admissibility for evolution families, *Czech. Math. J.*, 54:739–749, 2004.
- [7] N. Van Minh, F. Räbiger, and R. Schnaubelt, Exponential stability, exponential expansiveness and exponential dichotomy of evolution equations on the half-line, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 32:332–353, 1998.
- [8] B. Sasu, Perron conditions for exponential expansiveness of one-parameter semi-groups, *Le Matem. (Catania)*, 58:101–115, 2003.
- [9] A.L. Sasu, (L^p, L^q) -complete admissibility and exponential expansiveness of linear skew-product flows, *Mathematica*, 48:69–76, 2006.
- [10] B. Sasu and A.L. Sasu, Input-output conditions for the asymptotic behavior of linear skew-product flows and applications, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 5:553–571, 2006.
- [11] B. Sasu, New criteria for exponential expansiveness of variational difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 327:287–297, 2007.